

# **TOPEX/Poseidon MGDR** Quality Assessment Report

**Cycle 392** 

# 06-05-2003 16-05-2003

Prepared by :	C. Schgounn, CLS	
	G. Pontonnier, CLS	
	M. Ablain, CLS	
Accepted by :	J. Dorandeu, CLS	
Quality visa :	M. Destouesse, CLS	
Approved by :	N. Picot, CNES	







SALP-RP-P2-EX-21120-CLS392 Edition 01.0, July 2003

# 1 Introduction. Document overview

The purpose of this document is to report the major features of the data quality from the Topex/Poseidon mission. The document is associated with data dissemination on a cycle by cycle basis.

The objectives of this document are :

To provide a data quality assessment To provide users with necessary information for data processing

To report any change likely to impact data quality at any level, from instrument status to software configuration

To present the major useful results for the current cycle

It is divided into the following topics:

Cycle overview CALVAL main results

# 2 Cycle overview

### 2.1 Cycle quality and performances

Data quality for this cycle appears to be nominal. For this cycle, the crossover standard deviation is 6.64 cm rms, and the standard deviation of Sea Level Anomalies (SLA) relative to a Mean Sea Surface is 9.65 cm.

## 2.2 Warnings and recommendations

- Tape recorder failures : There is a lot of data gaps due to tape recorder anomalies, especially in the Indian Ocean.
- Problems in the interpolation of the TMR parameters : 3.95% of the measurements are removed by the TMR correction criterion, mainly due to tape recorder failures.


# 3 CALVAL main results

This section presents results that illustrate data quality during this cycle. These verification products are produced operationally so that they allow systematic monitoring of the main relevant parameters.

#### 3.1 Missing measurements


705172 altimeter measurements are present, and 89373 are missing.

The map below shows all the available measurements for this cycle and illustrates the tape recorder problems. The latter figure shows missing 1Hz measurements in the GDRs, with respect to a 1 Hz sampling of a nominal repeat track.



Available measurements TOPEX Cycle 392 (06/05/2003 / 16/05/2003)

Missing measurements TOPEX/Poseidon Cycle 392 (06/05/2003 / 16/05/2003)




TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 392** 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392

## 3.2 M-GDR quality flags


The following table indicates the percentage of measurements for which those flags are set.


Name	Descrition	% bad
Geo_Bad_1	altimeter land flag	26.13
Geo_Bad_1	ice flag	5.20
Geo_Bad_1	radiometer land flag	28.04
Alt_Bad_1	conditions 1 altimeter	4.45
Alt_Bad_2	conditions 2 altimeter	4.35
Geo_Bad_2	rain (liquid water in excess)	6.13
Geo_Bad_2	less than 4 points for CSR3.0 tide calculation	0.38
Geo_Bad_2	less than 4 points for FES95.2.1 tide calculation	2.66
TOPEX	TOPEX not valid	0.00
TMR	TMR not valid	0.00
TMR_Bad	Brightness temperatures not valid	5.27
DORIS	DORIS not valid	0.00




#### **3.3** M-GDR parameter plots


TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 392** 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392











Latitude

-45


-90 

**80** Longitude


Only plotted data >

Number of total points plotted :









### 3.4 Editing

The following table gives for each tested parameter, minimum and maximum thresholds, the number and the percentage of points removed.


As a comparison, the mean percentage over one year (1997) is also given.

There are problems in the interpolation of the TMR parameters since cycle 371 when there are missing measurements (tape recorder failures). These bad measurements are removed by the TMR correction criterion but some of them have been kept. Thus a new criterion has been added to the editing procedure since the cycle 376 to remove all the mesurements where the absolute value of the difference between the TMR correction and the ECMWF model wet tropospheric correction is greater than 20 cm.

The number and percentage of points removed by each criterion is given on the following table. Note that these statistics are obtained with measurements already edited for radiometer land flag (28.04 % of points removed) and ice flag (5.20 % of points removed).

Parameters	Min	Max	Unit	Mean %	% removed
	Thres.	Thres.		removed in	
				1997	
Sea surface height	-130.000	100.000	m	1.37	0.62
Number of 20/10Hz valid points Po-	5.000	-		1.37	0.86
seidon/TOPEX					
Std. deviation of range	0.000	0.100	m	1.85	1.52
Off nadir angle from waveform	0.000	0.400	deg	1.36	3.62
Dry tropospheric correction	-2.500	-1.900	m	0.00	0.00
Invert barometer correction	-2.000	2.000	m	0.00	0.00
TMR wet tropospheric correction	-0.500	-0.001	m	0.34	3.95
Ionospheric correction (Posei-	-0.400	0.040	m	0.00	0.00
don:Doris, TOPEX:Dual)					
Significant wave height	0.000	11.000	m	1.46	0.37
Sea state Bias	-0.500	0.000	m	1.39	0.61
Backscatter coefficient	7.000	30.000	dB	1.44	0.55
Ocean tide height	-5.000	5.000	m	0.01	0.73
Earth tide	-1.000	1.000	m	0.00	0.00
Pole tide	-15.000	15.000	m	0.00	0.00
TMR and ECMWF tropospheric	-0.200	0.200	m	NaN	0.73
differences					
Spline fitting					0.01


The following three maps are complementary: they show respectively the removed, the selected measurements and the percentage of selected measurements in the editing procedure.




Valid data TOPEX/Poseidon Cycle 392 (06/05/2003 / 16/05/2003)

TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 392** 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392


#### Percentage of valid data relative to the nominal pass TOPEX/Poseidon Cycle 392 (06/05/2003 / 16/05/2003)





#### 3.5 Ionospheric correction





TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report Cycle 392 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392

















TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 392** 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392

### 3.7 Crossover statistics




TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 392** 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392 Page 22






TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report Cycle **392** 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392




#### 3.8 SSH variability

#### 3.8.1 Sea Level Anomaly




TOPEX/Poseidon, cycle 392 Period : 06/05/2003 – 16/05/2003




TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report Cycle 392 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392 Page 25

#### TOPEX/Poseidon, cycle 392 Period : 06/05/2003 – 16/05/2003



#### 3.8.2 Comparison to a precise Mean Sea Surface


The CLS (2001) MSS model is used as a reference to compute SLA. The two following maps respectively show the map of Topex SLA relative to the MSS and differences higher than a 30 cm threshold (after centering the data). The latter figure shows that higher differences are located in high ocean variability areas, as expected.



TOPEX/Poseidon, cycle 392 Period : 06/05/2003 – 16/05/2003

TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 392** 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392 Page 27


## 3.9 Dynamic topography





#### 3.10 Wind and wave maps

These two figures show wind and wave estimations derived from 10 days of altimeter measurements.



TOPEX/Poseidon, cycle 392 Period : 06/05/2003 – 16/05/2003

TOPEX/Poseidon GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 392** 06-05-2003 16-05-2003 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS392 Page 29