

30 Years of Progress in Radar Altimetry Symposium 2-7 September 2024 | Montpellier, France

GDR-G Altimetry Standards

cnes

Lucas, B.¹, Martin Puig, C.¹, Bignalet-Cazalet, F.², Cullen, R.³, Maraldi, C.², Leuliette, E.⁴, Desjonqueres, J.⁵, Di Bella, A.⁶

¹ EUMETSAT, ² CNES, ³ ESA/ESTEC, ⁴ NOAA, ⁵ NASA/JPL, ⁶ Randstad for ESA/ESRIN,

www.coastalaltimetry.org



- Motivation
- GDR history
- GDR-G
 - Static auxiliary data
 - Expected impact
 - Dynamic auxiliary data
 - Implementation Timeline
- Conclusions

Motivation

TECCHNICAL BOCHNICAL

- **Technical Standard definition:** a technical standard is an established norm or requirement for a repeatable technical task which is applied to a common and repeated use of rules, conditions, guidelines or characteristics for products or related processes and production methods, and related management systems practices.
- With the increase of missions, with the existence of different altimeter instruments, with different processing needs, the Agencies agree the following approach will facilitate the harmonization among missions:



copernicus.eumetsat.int

GDR history on the reference missions



- Version "a" first version released after Jason-1 launch.(Dec 2001)
- Version "b" first implemented operationally from Oct 2005 for the Jason-1 GDRs. Reprocessing performed in 2006.
- Version "c" first implemented operationally from April 2008 for the Jason-1 GDRs. Reprocessing performed in 2008/2009. Version used for Jason-2 GDRs since launch. (2008)
- Version "d" first implemented operationally from July 2012 for the Jason-2 GDRs. Reprocessing performed in 2012
- Version "e" limited to Jason-1 reprocessing in 2016
- Version "f" first implemented operationally from Sept 2020 for the Jason-3 GDRs. Reprocessing performed in 2020 for AltiKa, 2022 for Jason-3, in 2023/2024 for Jason-2.

GDR-G Updated Static Auxiliary data

Meteo Altimetry Gaussian Grid	ECMWF			
Ocean Tide Solution 1	GOT 4.10C			
Ocean Tide Solution 2	FES2022B			updated
Pole Tide	Desai et al., 2015			
MSS Solution 1	Hybride2023: CNES/CLS 2022 + Scripps + DTU21			updated
MSS Solution 2	DTU 2021	DTU 2021	DTU 2021	updated
MSS/Geoid Slopes Map	CNES	DNS2008	CNES	
Geoid Height Map		EGM2008		
Ocean Depth and Land Elevation		ACE2 (2008)		
(Bathymetry)				
Wind Tables	1D Abdalla 2007 + 2D (Gourrion et al. 2002; Collard 2005)	2D (Gourrion et al. 2002; Collard 2005)	2D (Gourrion et al. 2002; Collard 2005)	
Solid Earth Tide		Cartwright and Edden		
Climatological Pressure Grids	RDRay and RMPonte 2003			
Pressure Variability File (S1/S2)	RDRay and RMPonte 2003			
Mean Dynamic Topography	CNES_CLS_MDT_2022			updated
Distance and Angle To Coast	Scharroo 2019 based on GSHHG			
Sea State Bias	Non param SSB, Tran 2021 SSB_2020_J3_GDRF SSB_2020_J3_GDRF			
Internal Tide	Internal tide [Zaron, 2019] HRET v8.1			
	Sentinel-3	Sentinel-6	Jason-3	

Mean Sea Surface: MSS Hybrid 2023

copernicus.eumetsat.int

Cones Cones

- This new MSS has been determined using a <u>combination</u> of recent models considered as the most precise which are the SCRIPP_CLS22, CNES_CLS22, and DTU21 MSS's.
- The aim was to generate a new MSS by taking advantage of the best properties of each model based on various validation of these 3 models. This work focused on the following points:
 - achieving centimetric accuracy,
 - while minimizing residual ocean variability,
 - and obtaining the most accurate mapping of the finest topographic structures down to wavelengths of less than 10 km.



 Particular attention was also been paid to the Arctic and Antarctic areas.
Credits: "The 2023 Hybrid Mean Sea Surface" by Schaeffer et al. 2023 (DOI: 10.24400/527896/a03-2023.3717)

Available at: https://doi.org/10.24400/527896/A01-2024.002

Opernicus 🗲 EUMETSAT 🚳

Cean Tide Model: FES 22B

- New global tide model FES2022 has been developed, focusing particularly on <u>shallow waters and high latitudes</u>.
- This new tidal solution uses <u>higher spatial resolution in coastal areas</u>, extending systematically the model mesh to the narrowest coastal systems (fjords, estuaries, ...), and the <u>model bathymetry has been upgraded</u> in many places thanks to an international collaboration effort.
- The hydrodynamic modelling benefits also from further improvements which allows producing very accurate hydrodynamic simulations.

Credits: "A new barotropic tide model for global ocean: FES2022" by Carrere et al. 2022 (DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.24400/527896/a03-2022.3287</u>)

Available at: https://doi.org/10.24400/527896/A01-2024.004

Cean Tide Model: FES 22B (II)

XOVERS J3









H crossovers : VAR(SSH with TIDE_FES22C) - VAR(SSH with TIDE_FES2014



copernicus.eumetsat.int

Improved Variance at Crossovers (monomission) when compared to FES14B

Credits: "A new barotropic tide model for global ocean: FES2022" by Carrere et al. 2022 (DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.24400/527896/a03-2022.3287</u>)

Available at: https://doi.org/10.24400/527896/A01-2024.004

EUM/RSP/VWG/24/1425956, v1, 4 September 2024

🔰 Copernicus 🗲 EUMETSAT 🎯 🎯 🏀 OSA 🔄

Mean Dynamic Topography: MDT CNES/CLS 22

- Latest GOCO06S geoid model (based on the complete GOCE mission fully reprocessed and 14 years of GRACE data) and 30 years of altimetry and *in-situ* data (hydrologic, drifters and High Frequency radar on a limited area):
 - better coverage and
 - better representation of the structures in the Arctic
 - better representation of the shelf-break current

Credits: "New global Mean Dynamic Topography CNES-CLS-22 combining drifters, hydrological profiles and High Frequency radar data" by Jousset et al. 2022 (DOI: https://doi.org/10.24400/527896/a03-2022.3292)

Available at: <u>10.24400/527896/a01-2023.003</u>

RMSD(CNES-CLS-22 vs drifters) - RMSD(CNES-CLS-18 vs drifters)



Global improvement even if deterioration in some boxes



Overall impact (preview, introducing MSS+TIDES update)



Mono-mission Crossover of simulating Sea Level with GDR-F and GDR-G:

- Max delay 10 days
- Aggregated into cycles (top left plot)
- Aggregated into 4x4 degree boxes (top right plot)
- Excluding ice covered areas
- Valid Sea Level < | 1m |

Mainly coming from FES update. Other updates in processing not accounted/simulated.

Variance reduction of ~ 3.7 cm²

GDR-G: **Dynamic** Aux data updates

Mission	S3 BC006	S6 PBG01	J3 GDR-G				
Dynamic AUX Files							
	ECMWF Op Forecast	ECMWF Op Forecast	ECMWF Op Forecast				
		(MeteoAltimeterGaussian_N640_00					
Meteo Files		1.nc)					
	ECMWF Op Analysis	ECMWF Op Analysis	ECMWF Op Analysis				
	ECMWF Op Analysis	ECMWF Op Analysis	ECMWF Op Analysis				
Medallad Ioneonharia Correction	GIM preliminary	GIM Preliminary	GIM Forecast				
	GIM Restituted	1. GIM Restituted	GIM Preliminary				
modelled follospheric correction		2. GIM Preliminary					
	GIM Restituted	GIM Restituted	GIM Preliminary				
	Wave Model Forecast (WVF) -	Wave Model Forecast (WVF) -	Wave Model Forecast (WVF) -				
	MeteoFrance	MeteoFrance	MeteoFrance				
	1. Wave Model Analysis (WMA)	1. Wave Model Analysis (WMA)	CNES/MFWAM Analysis				
	2. Wave Model Forecast (WMF)	2. Wave Model Forecast (WMF)					
Wave Model Files	All MeteoFrance	All MeteoFrance					
	Wave Model Analysis (WMA) -	Wave Model Analysis (WMA) -					
Ice Concentration	OSI-SAE SIC: OSI-430a (fast-track)		Ν/Δ				
	OSI-SAF SIC: OSI-430a (fast-track)		OSI SAF Preliminary				
			OSI SAF Analysis				
	OSI-SAF SIC: OSI-430a (ICDR)						
	1. ROE (CPOD service), 2. DORIS	1. ROE (CPOD service), 2. DORIS	DORIS				
Orbite							
	MOE(CNES) MOE (CPOD)	MOE (CNES)	MOE (CNES)				
	POE (CNES) POE (CPOD)	POE (CNES)	POE (CNES)				

GDR-G: Dynamic Aux data updates

Mission	S3 BC006	S6 PBG01	J3 GDR-G					
Dynamic AUX Files								
Meteo Files	ECMWF Op Forecast	ECMWF Op Forecast	ECMWF Op Forecast					
		(MeteoAltimeterGaussian_N640_00						
		1.nc)						
	ECMWF Op Analysis	ECMWF Op Analysis	ECMWF Op Analysis					
	ECMWF Op Analysis	ECMWF Op Analysis	ECMWF Op Analysis					
Modelled Ionospheric Correction	GIM preliminary	GIM Preliminary	GIM Forecast					
	GIM Restituted	1. GIM Restituted	GIM Preliminary					
		2. GIM Preliminary						
	GIM Restituted	GIM Restituted	GIM Preliminary					
Wave Model Files	Wave Model Forecast (WVF) -	Wave Model Forecast (WVF) -	Wave Model Forecast (WVF) -					
	MeteoFrance	MeteoFrance	MeteoFrance					
	1. Wave Model Analysis (WMA)	1. Wave Model Analysis (WMA)	CNES/MFWAM Analysis					
	2. Wave Model Forecast (WMF)	2. Wave Model Forecast (WMF)						
	All MeteoFrance	All MeteoFrance						
	Wave Model Analysis (WMA) -	Wave Model Analysis (WMA) -	CNES/MFWAM Analysis					
	MeteoFrance	MeteoFrance						
Ice Concentration	OSI-SAF SIC: OSI-430a (fa <mark>st-track)</mark>		N/A					
	OSI-SAF SIC: OSI-430a (fast-track	OE-G in preparation for la	FollSAF Preliminary					
	202	4/early 2025 for 56, J3 ar	IC 53 nalysis					
	OSI-SAF SIC: OSI-430a (IC <mark>DR)</mark>							
	1. ROE (CPOD service), 2. DORIS		DORIS					
Orbits								
	MOE(CNES) MOE (CPOD)	MOE (CNES)	MOE (CNES)					
	POE (CNES) POE (CPOD)	POE (CNES)	POE (CNES)					

Ce Timeline

copernicus.eumetsat.int



Further evolutions are planned for S3 and J3 later in 2025, but the standards will be maintained

Cryosat2 (Ocean Products) will adopt the standards in a different timeline



Conclusions

- GDR-G update
 - Newer standards
 - FES22B
 - MSS Hybrid 2023
 - MDT CNES/CLS 2022
 - POE-G (dynamic, not in sync with the processors)
 - Better interoperability
 - Same standards as DT24 (CMEMS L3 product)
 - Preparation/facilitation for higher level products
 - Better alignment of processing practices
 - Not discussed on this presentation
 - >>> Data quality improvement <<<
 - To be used on the reprocessing campaigns in 2025
- **Recommendation:** Further continue standardization of Radar Altimeter processing for all missions



Cryosat2 (Ocean Products) will adopt the standards in a different timeline

copernicus.eumetsat.int

www.eumetsat.int



Thank you !

EUM/GES/TEM/07/2025, v4, 26 September 2023